

August 13, 2001

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
ARSENIC RULE BENEFITS REVIEW PANEL
TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL MEETING
CONFERENCE ROOM 6013 ARIEL RIOS

August 14, 2001
10:00 AM (EDT)

AGENDA

PURPOSE:

To discuss the draft report, *Arsenic Rule Benefits Analysis: An SAB Review*, from the Panel and to gain closure on items in the report and any needed revisions.

I. Convene the Panel

10:00-10:15 Introduction

Dr. Maureen Cropper
Panel Chair

II. Discussion of the Report

Dr. Maureen Cropper
Panel Chair
Panel Members

A. The Charge Questions

- 10:15-10:30** **1. Charge Question 1:** *How should **latency** be addressed in the benefits estimates when existing literature does not provide specific quantitative estimates of latency periods associated with exposure to arsenic in drinking water?*
- 10:30-10:45** **2. Charge Question 2:** *How should **health endpoints (other than bladder and lung cancer)** be addressed in the analysis, when [existing] literature does not provide specific quantification, to ensure appropriate consideration by decision makers and the public?*
- 10:45-10:55** **3. Charge Question 3:** *Should reduction/elimination of **exposure** be evaluated as a separate benefits category, in addition to or in conjunction with mortality and morbidity reduction?*
- 10:55-11:10** **4. Charge Question 4:** *How should total benefits and costs and incremental benefits and costs be addressed in analyzing regulatory alternatives to ensure appropriate*

consideration by decision makers and the public?

11:10-11:25 **5. Charge Question 5:** *How should uncertainties be addressed in the analysis to ensure appropriate consideration by decision makers and the public?*

11:25-11:35 B. General Comments Section

11:35-11:45 C. Letter to the Administrator

11:45-11:55 D. Public Comments

12:00 **ADJOURN**